





Frank R. Ellerbe, III

1901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200

POST OFFICE BOX 944
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29202

(803) 779-8900 | (803) 227-1112 direct

FAX (803) 744-1556

fellerbe@robinsonlaw.com

November 19, 2015

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Jocelyn Boyd, Chief Clerk/Administrator Public Service Commission of South Carolina Synergy Business Park, Saluda Building 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, SC 29210

Re: Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC to Update its Fuel Factor to

Recover the Costs of its Approved Distributed Energy Resource Program

Docket No. 2015-1-E.

Dear Ms. Boyd:

In the referenced application Duke Energy Progress LLC ("DEP") seeks approval and recovery of its Distributed Energy Resources Program ("DERP") costs as permitted by the provisions of Act 236 of 2014 codified at S.C. Code Ann. §58-39-140. In addition to DEP and the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") the only other party to the proceeding is Nucor Steel – South Carolina ("Nucor"). All parties have now reached a settlement of all issues in the proceeding. The Settlement Agreement was filed with the Commission by the ORS on November 18, 2015.

A hearing in this docket is currently scheduled for December 1, 2015. DEP respectfully requests that the Commission consider the Settlement Agreement as submitted by the parties without requiring the hearing. In the Settlement Agreement both the ORS and Nucor have agreed to the DERP rates as proposed in the application filed by DEP. There are no adjustments or revisions of rates from those proposed by the Company. The DERP rates supported by the parties in the Settlement Agreement are exactly the rates set out in the Notice of Filing that was published and provided to ratepayers. Under the provisions of S.C. Code Ann. §58-39-140(B) this Commission has the discretion to decide whether to hold a hearing on this application ("The Commission may hold a hearing...") and, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. §58-27-870(F), the proposed rates do not require a determination of the entire rate structure and overall rate of return and will facilitate an orderly rate administration. DEP submits that under the circumstances presented in this proceeding the public interest would be served by not holding a hearing. Counsel for DEP has been authorized by counsel for the other parties to represent that the ORS and Nucor support the request that the hearing in this matter be cancelled.

Jocelyn Boyd, Chief Clerk/Administrator November 19, 2015 Page 2

Thank you for your consideration of this request and please let me know if there any questions of if additional information would be helpful.

Yours truly,

ROBINSON, MCFADDEN & MOORE, P.C.

Frank R. Ellerbe, III

FRE/tch

cc: F. David Butler, Hearing Examiner
Andrew M. Bateman, Office of Regulatory Staff
Shannon Bowyer Hudson, Office of Regulatory Staff
Garrett A. Stone, Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
Michael K. Lavanga, Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
Robert R. Smith, II, Moore & Van Allen, PLLC
Heather S. Smith, Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Charles A. Castle, Duke Energy Progress, LLC